

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

G/ADP/IG/W/46
9 July 2002

(02-3814)

Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices
Informal Group on Anti-Circumvention

Original: English

QUESTION BY HONG KONG, CHINA CONCERNING THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY PERU¹

The following communication, dated 5 July 2002, has been received from the Hong Kong Economic and Trade Office.

1. During discussion of the paper (ref: G/ADP/IG/W/43) at the last meeting of the Informal Group on Anti-Circumvention, Hong Kong, China sought clarification on the empirical case regarding the investigations conducted by Peru into imports of footwear from Chinese Taipei and Indonesia. The delegates of Peru requested that questions be provided in writing so that they could follow up and revert at the next meeting of the Informal Group. In connection with this 'footwear' case, Hong Kong, China would like to put forth the following questions -

1. It is noted that the Peruvian investigating authority has conducted new investigations into goods originating in Chinese Taipei and Indonesia, which were not covered by the original determination. We are interested to know whether the investigating authority has applied to the new investigations the same procedures as those for the original investigation into footwear from the People's Republic of China.
2. In the investigations into footwear from Chinese Taipei and Indonesia, were there any separate findings to show that dumping had taken place and caused injury to the domestic industry?
3. It is noted that the Peruvian National Customs Administration has separately investigated into problems concerning tariff classification and declarations of origin for imports of footwear from Chinese Taipei and Indonesia. What are the findings of these investigations? To what extent could the problem be addressed by way of investigations under the relevant customs rules? What does Peru conclude as the major cause of the problem?

¹ G/ADP/IG/W/43